



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

** If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Dr	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Llewellyn	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Bradford	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	BD6 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 31/03/2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	4.1	Paragraph		Policy	
---------	-----	-----------	--	--------	--

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	-	No	-
4 (2). Sound	Yes	-	No	*
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	-	No	-

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Although the Spatial Vision Diagram is not clear, from a map produced by the T&A on 11/01/2013 it would appear that I live in Bradford South East which is earmarked for 6000 additional houses, further industrial development as well as being the gateway to the city from the motorway network.

Looking more specifically at the area I know best which is bounded by the M606 and Rooley Avenue up to Odsal Top, the industrial developments such as ProLogis and developments along Staithgate Lane have contributed to road congestion and a poorer living standard. The current development by Persimmon at Rooley Avenue is also predicted to make no significant impact on traffic and its approved traffic plan is incorrect in as far as bus services are concerned and hence its impact on traffic. With the experience of these major projects, such developments as those proposed will have a massive impact on health – which is of major concern in Bradford following the recently published reports by the CCG. The major junction at Mayo Avenue has been monitored for its contribution to pollution and it cannot be doubted that other major roads such as Rooley Avenue are any better (when the M62 experiences accidents etc it usually takes 30 minutes for repercussions to ripple along the M606 to Staygate Roundabout and thence to Rooley Avenue). Staithgate Lane is used as M606 overflow and with further industrial development and potential waste material processing sites at its southern end, houses nearby will be once again subject to the harmful vibrations caused by heavy traffic on an unsuitable road.

The Persimmon development on Rooley Avenue will make a huge impact on the only local school, the facilities for recreation are very limited and the Richard Dunn Sports Centre - which has been earmarked by the Council for demolition - is the only "oasis" in the "desert" of "development at all cost".

Such is the situation now. Therefore, I am convinced that the proposed development plan will deliver

misery to those who will have to suffer the consequences in this area. I would urge a rethink on the proposed distribution of new houses in the area as a whole – not just the City Region - and that areas in S-E Bradford that take the extra load are those which are part of proper regeneration initiatives that are capable of delivering a tolerable existence. The transport infrastructure needs to be considered pro-actively and not reactively as it is currently. The waste management strategy went through a number of questionable rethinks and was overtaken by the (seemingly) aborted scheme at Bowling Back Lane which had the potential to save Bradford from its miserable achievements at recycling. With a chance to recycle and generate heat/electricity with the new generation of combined heat/power plants, there should be a radical rethink of this strategy and its impact on transport requirements.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

*	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
_	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

31/03/2014

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

1. Do you live within or have an interest in the Bradford District?